

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/624,257 | 07/21/2003 | Leonard N. Schiff | 020575 | 7349 |
| | 7590 08/24/2007 I INCORPORATED OUSE DR. CA 92121 | | EXAMINER | |
| 5775 MOREHO | | | SAFAIPOUR, BOBBAK | |
| SAN DIEGO, C | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2618 | |
| | | • | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/24/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/624,257 | SCHIFF ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Bobbak Safaipour | 2618 | |

| | Bobbak Salaipoul | 2018 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compli following time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | f Appeal. To avoid ab idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expres months from the mailing | | in the final rejection wh | inhavar ia latas . In |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire late. | r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | ate of the final rejection. | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | | ta automoian faa ha |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the for statutory period for reply originally set | ee. The appropriate externing the final Office action | ension fee under 37 ; or (22))talsarth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two montl | ns of the date |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b | tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal d | of the appeal. |
| AMENDMENTS | hut wierte the date of filling a brie | f will not be entered | haaayaa |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | because |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL -324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | /III be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-21,24 and 26-30. | • | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>22,23 and 25</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | • | | • |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| | The second secon | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | • | |
| · | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection will not be entered because they raise new issues that require further search and consideration. Independent claims 1, 7, 12, 18, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30 have been amended. Further search and consideration are required.

8-17-07

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER